arXiv:1510.07651v2 [math-ph] 18 Jan 2016

ZERO HAUSDORFF DIMENSION SPECTRUM FOR THE ALMOST MATHIEU OPERATOR.

YORAM LAST[1] AND MIRA SHAMIS[2]

Abstract. We study the almost Mathieu operator at critical coupling. We prove that there exists a dense G_δ set of frequencies for which the spectrum is of zero Hausdorff dimension.

1. Introduction

The almost Mathieu operator $H_{\alpha,\lambda,\theta}$ is the discrete one-dimensional Schrödinger operator, acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and defined by

$$H_{\alpha,\lambda,\theta} = \Delta + V_{\alpha,\lambda,\theta}, \quad (\Delta\psi)(n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1), \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$(V\psi)(n) = \lambda \cos(2\pi\alpha n + \theta)\psi(n),$$

and $\alpha, \lambda, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $H_{\alpha,\lambda,\theta}$ is invariant under $\alpha \to \alpha \pm 1$, $\theta \to \theta \pm 2\pi$, we may always assume that $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$. Also, a sign change of λ is equivalent to translation of θ by π, therefore we assume that $\lambda \ge 0$.

The almost Mathieu operator is one of the most studied concrete models of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators, with a rich spectral theory and important applications in physics (see, e.g., [1, 12, 15, 16]).

If $\alpha = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$, where we may assume that $p < q$, p and q are relatively prime, the operator is periodic, and if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, the operator is almost periodic (meaning that the closure of the set $\{V(\cdot + j)\}_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is compact in ℓ^∞.)

In the periodic case the spectrum is the union of q bands (closed intervals), possibly touching at the edges (endpoints). As θ varies, these bands move and their length may change, but different bands never overlap other than at endpoints. In fact, it is known [6, 18] that these bands are disjoint except for some special values of θ when q is even. In these cases, the two central bands are touching at 0.

[1]Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University, 91904 Jerusalem, Israel. E-mail: ylast@math.huji.ac.il

[2]Current address: Department of Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 7610001, Israel. E-mail: mira.shamis@weizmann.ac.il

In the almost periodic case, the spectrum $\sigma(\alpha, \lambda, \theta)$ does not depend on θ. In this case, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, it is a Cantor set (a nowhere dense closed set with no isolated points) and has Lebesgue measure $|4 - 2|\lambda\||$. This theorem is the result of work by numerous researchers over several decades (see [16]). The final step was accomplished in the work of Avila–Jitomirskaya [1].

For the case $|\lambda| = 2$, known as the critical case, and for every irrational α, the spectrum is a Cantor set of zero Lebesgue measure and the question of its fractal dimension naturally arises. Our main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *There exists a dense G_δ set of α's, for which*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(\sigma(\alpha, 2, \theta)) = 0 \quad \textit{for every } \theta,$$

where $\sigma(\alpha, 2, \theta)$ is the spectrum of $H_{\alpha,2,\theta}$ and $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(\cdot)$ denotes Hausdorff dimension.

It was believed until the mid 1990's that the box-counting dimension $\dim_B(\sigma(\alpha, 2, \theta))$ of the spectrum of the almost Mathieu operator is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ for $|\lambda| = 2$ and almost every α; see, e.g., Tang and Kohmoto [20], Bell and Stinchcombe [3], Geisel, Ketzmerick and Petschel [8], for numerical and heuristic arguments supporting this conjecture. However, in 1994 Wilkinson–Austin [21] provided numerical evidence that $\dim_B(\sigma(\alpha, 2, \theta)) = 0.498$ for $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ (the golden mean) and thus conjectured that $\dim_B(\sigma(\alpha, 2, \theta)) < \frac{1}{2}$ for every irrational α. They also gave numerical and analytic evidence that $\dim_B(\sigma(\alpha_n, 2, \theta)) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for irrationals α_n of the form

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{n + \ldots}}} \ ,$$

giving additional indication that fractal properties of the spectrum $\sigma(\alpha, 2, \theta)$ depend sensitively on the properties of α.

As for Hausdorff dimension, J. Bellissard (private communication to the first author, circ. 1995) conjectured that there should exist some $\beta \in (0, 1/2]$ such that $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(\sigma(\alpha, 2, \theta)) = \beta$ for almost every α. The only rigorous result on the Hausdorff dimension that we are aware of is in [14], were it is proved that for a dense G_δ set of irrational α's, the Hausdorff dimension of the spectrum is $\leq \frac{1}{2}$. The relevant α's are characterized by

$$\liminf_{q \to \infty} \inf_{p} q^4 \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \infty \ .$$

We mention an immediate corollary of Theorem 1 pertaining to the integrated density of states. Recall that the integrated density of states $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}(E)$ is a cumulative distribution function of a measure supported on $\sigma(H_{\alpha,\lambda,\theta})$. For irrational α, it can be defined via its Stieltjes transform by

$$\int f(E)d\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,\lambda}(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \langle f(H_{\alpha,\lambda,\theta})\delta_0, \delta_0\rangle \, d\theta \ , \quad f \in C(\mathbb{R}) \ ,$$

where $\delta_0(n)$ is 1 if $n = 0$ and 0 otherwise. Recalling Frostman's lemma (see, e.g., [7]), we obtain:

Corollary 1.1. *There exists a dense G_δ set of α's for which the integrated density of states $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,2}(E)$ is not Hölder continuous of any order $\gamma > 0$.*

We note that the existence of irrational α's for which $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha,2}$ is not Hölder continuous of any order $\gamma > 0$ also follows from the work of Helffer–Sjöstrand [10]; see Bourgain [4, Ch. 8].

A key step in the proof of Theorem 1 is the following theorem. Fix $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and consider the almost Mathieu operator $H_{\frac{p}{q},2,\theta}$, where $p < q$ are relatively prime. Let

$$S_-\left(\frac{p}{q}, 2\right) \equiv \bigcap_\theta \sigma\left(\frac{p}{q}, 2, \theta\right) \ , \quad S\left(\frac{p}{q}, 2\right) \equiv \bigcup_\theta \sigma\left(\frac{p}{q}, 2, \theta\right) \ .$$

As discussed below, $S_-(p/q, 2)$ consists of q points; let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\frac{p}{q},2}(E) &\equiv \prod_{E' \in S_-(p/q,2)} (E - E') \ , \\ \operatorname{dist}\left(E, S_-\left(\frac{p}{q}, 2\right)\right) &\equiv \inf_{E' \in S_-(\frac{p}{q},2)} |E - E'| \ . \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} J_\delta^{(1)} &\equiv \{E : |\Delta_{\frac{p}{q},2}(E)| > \delta > 0\} \ , \\ J_\delta^{(2)} &\equiv \left\{E : \operatorname{dist}\left(E, S_-\left(\frac{p}{q}, 2\right)\right) > \delta > 0\right\} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. *For any p/q and $0 < \delta$ there exists $\eta = \eta(p, q, \delta) > 0$ such that for $|\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}} - \frac{p}{q}| < \eta(p, q, \delta)$*

$$\operatorname{meas}\left(S\left(\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}}, 2\right) \cap J_\delta\right) < \ \frac{C(p,q)}{\delta} \exp\left\{-\frac{\delta\widetilde{q}}{C(p,q)}\right\} \ ,$$

where $C(p,q)$ depends only on p and q, $\mathrm{meas}(\cdot)$ *denotes the Lebesgue measure, and J_δ denotes either $J_\delta^{(1)}$ or $J_\delta^{(2)}$. For $J_\delta^{(1)}$, one may choose $\eta(p,q,\delta) = C^{-q}\delta^2$ and $C(p,q) = Cq$.*

This theorem formalizes the following observation inspired by the drawing of Hofstadter [11]. Consider some fixed rational $\frac{p}{q}$, where p and q are relatively prime, and consider a sequence $\frac{p_n}{q_n} \to \frac{p}{q}$. Then the spectrum naturally splits into regions that correspond to the bands of the spectrum for $\frac{p}{q}$; the bands that correspond to high order rationals become exponentially small away from the center of the corresponding region.

An important technical step in the proof of Theorem 2 is the following result, which allows to study the behavior of solutions in certain intervals of energies and to obtain their exponential growth for energies outside of the spectrum of the operator. We denote by $\operatorname{Tr} T$ and $\det T$ the trace and determinant (respectively) of a square matrix T.

Theorem 3. *Fix $0 < \beta < 1$. Suppose that $T_n \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, $1 \le n \le N$, are matrices with eigenvalues $e^{\pm(\gamma_n + i\zeta_n)}$, $\gamma_n > 0$, and that the corresponding eigenvectors $\phi_n^\pm$ can be chosen so that $\|\phi_n^\pm\| = 1$ and*

$$\frac{4\max(\|\phi_n^+ - \phi_{n+1}^+\|, \|\phi_n^- - \phi_{n+1}^-\|)}{|\det(\phi_{n+1}^+, \phi_{n+1}^-)|} < \beta\gamma_n e^{-\gamma_n} \ .$$

Then the matrix product $\Phi_N = T_N \dots T_1$ satisfies

$$(1-\beta)e^{(1-\beta)\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n} \le \|\Phi_N \phi_1^+\| \le (1+\beta)e^{(1+\beta)\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n}.$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe some preliminaries and previously obtained results. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 3 and in Section 4 we prove Theorem 2. Finally, in Section 5, we prove Theorem 1. One of the steps in the proof of Theorem 2 is inspired by the work of Surace [19], whereas the deduction of Theorem 1 makes use of several arguments from [14].

Acknowledgements. YL is grateful to F. Klopp and A. Fedotov for helpful discussions during preliminary stages of this project. MS is grateful to Qi Zhou for helpful comments on the text. The authors are also very grateful to the referee of this paper for suggesting several corrections and improvements. This research was supported in part by The Israel Science Foundation (Grants No. 1169/06 and 1105/10) and by Grants No. 2010348 and 2014337 from the United States–Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF), Jerusalem, Israel.

2. Preliminaries

Periodic operators. We start with some general facts about periodic operators of period $\widetilde{q}$. Namely, we start with an operator H that acts on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, of the form

$$H = \Delta + V,\ (\Delta\psi)(n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1),$$

where V is periodic sequence of period $\widetilde{q}$. Consider the associated eigenvalue equation

$$\psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n) = E\psi(n), \tag{2.1}$$

where $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and ψ is a two-sided sequence, $\psi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$.

It is known that for such an operator the Lyapunov exponent exists for every $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and it is determined by the one period transfer matrix $\Phi_{\widetilde{q}}(E)$ as follows:

$$\gamma(E) \equiv \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \|\Phi_n(E)\| = \frac{1}{\widetilde{q}} \ln[\mathrm{Spr}\,(\Phi_{\widetilde{q}}(E))],$$

where $\mathrm{Spr}\,(\cdot)$ is the spectral radius and

$$\Phi_n(E) = T_n(E)\cdots T_2(E)T_1(E)\ , \quad T_j(E) = \begin{pmatrix} E - V(j) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\ .$$

Since $\det \Phi_n(E) = 1$ (for all n) we have that for

$$E \in \mathcal{A} \equiv \{E \in \mathbb{R} : \gamma(E) = 0\}, \tag{2.2}$$

$\Phi_n(E)$ has eigenvalues presentable as $e^{\pm ik(E)\widetilde{q}}$, with $k(E) \in \mathbb{R}$ ($k(E)$ is the so called Bloch wave number). For $E \notin \mathcal{A}$ the eigenvalues of $\Phi_n(E)$ are given by $e^{\pm\gamma(E)\widetilde{q}}$, where $\gamma(E)$ is the Lyapunov exponent.

The corresponding eigenvectors give rise (as initial conditions) to the solutions $\{\psi^{\pm}(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the equation $H\psi = E\psi$ that satisfy

$$\psi^{\pm}(n+\widetilde{q}) = \begin{cases} e^{\pm\pi ik\widetilde{q}}\psi^{\pm}(n)\ , & E \in \mathcal{A}\ , \\ e^{\pm\gamma\widetilde{q}}\psi^{\pm}(n)\ , & E \notin \mathcal{A}\ . \end{cases}$$

Therefore the operator $H - E$ has bounded inverse if and only if $E \notin \mathcal{A}$, hence $\sigma = \mathcal{A}$.

We define the restriction $H^{[k,\infty)}$ of a periodic operator H to an interval $[k,\infty) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ as follows:

$$(H^{[k,\infty)}\psi)(n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n) \quad \text{for } n > k,$$
$$(H^{[k,\infty)}\psi)(k) = \psi(k+1) + V(k)\psi(k)\ .$$

Doing this allows us to use information on sub-intervals to gain information about H on $\mathbb{Z}$. Define the Green functions of $H^{[k,\infty)}$ via

$$G^{[k,\infty)}(n,m;z) \equiv \langle \delta_m, (H^{[k,\infty)} - z)^{-1}\delta_n \rangle \ , \tag{2.3}$$

where δ_j is the vector in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, with entries $\delta_j(n)$ that are 1 if $n = j$ and 0 if $n \neq j$. From the second resolvent identity we have:

$$G^{[k,\infty)}(k,l;E) = G^{[k,\infty)}(k,n;E)G^{[n+1,\infty)}(n+1,l;E) \tag{2.4}$$

for $k < n < l$. For a periodic potential of period $\widetilde{q}$ and for $G^{[1,\infty)}(1,n;E)$ being the appropriate Green function, iterative application of (2.4) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} &G^{[1,\infty)}(1,m\widetilde{q};E) \\ &\quad = G^{[1,\infty)}(1,\widetilde{q};E)\, G^{[\widetilde{q}+1,\infty)}(\widetilde{q}+1,2\widetilde{q};E) \\ &\qquad\qquad \cdots G^{[(m-1)\widetilde{q}+1,\infty)}((m-1)\widetilde{q}+1,m\widetilde{q};E) \\ &\quad = \left(G^{[1,\infty)}(1,\widetilde{q};E)\right)^m \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

since

$$G^{[(k-1)\widetilde{q}+1,\infty)}((k-1)\widetilde{q}+1,k\widetilde{q};E) = G^{[1,\infty)}(1,\widetilde{q};E)$$

by periodicity.

An additional elementary connection, which is very useful in proving our result, is:

$$\gamma(E) = -\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln |G(1,n;E)|,$$

and (2.5) implies that in the periodic case (period $\widetilde{q}$)

$$\gamma(E) = -\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}} \ln |G^{[1,\infty)}(1,\widetilde{q};E)|. \tag{2.6}$$

Therefore, for $E \notin \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$|G^{[1,\infty)}(1,\widetilde{q};E)| = e^{-\gamma\widetilde{q}}.$$

In order to estimate the Lyapunov exponent for the system (2.1) (and we will explain later how to get rid of the dependence on θ for the operator (1.1)), we move E slightly off the real axis, estimate the Lyapunov exponent for the resulting complex E's and then finally relate it to the Lyapunov exponent on the real line. For this last step, we use the following general lemma due to Surace [19]:

Lemma 2.1 (Surace)**.** *For any $\epsilon, \eta > 0$,*

$$\text{meas}\{E : |\gamma(E+i\epsilon) - \gamma(E)| \geq \eta\} \leq \frac{\pi\epsilon}{\eta} \ .$$

The reason for making E complex is to eliminate the possibility of extremely small divisors in the Green function $G(\cdot,\cdot;E)$. Thus, we begin with modifying the system (2.1), and from now, we study the system

$$\psi(n+1)+\psi(n-1)+V(n)\psi(n)=(E+i\epsilon)\psi(n). \tag{2.7}$$

For future reference, we recall two rough bounds: for every interval $I\subset\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\|G^I\|=\|(H^I-E-i\epsilon)^{-1}\|\le\frac{1}{\epsilon}, \tag{2.8}$$

and, if $I\ni k_0$,

$$\sum_{k\in I}|G^I(k_0,k;E+i\epsilon)|^2=\frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathrm{Im}\,G^I(k_0,k_0;E+i\epsilon)\le\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}. \tag{2.9}$$

In the spectral analysis of periodic operators (of period $\widetilde{q}$) a key role is played by the discriminant $D(E)$, defined by:

$$D(E)\equiv\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_{\widetilde{q}}(E)). \tag{2.10}$$

$D(E)$ is a polynomial in E of order $\widetilde{q}$ with the following properties (see, e.g., [13]):

(1) $D(E)$ has $\widetilde{q}$ real simple zeroes.
(2) $D(E)\ge 2$ at all its maxima points, and $D(E)\le -2$ at all its minima points.

The spectrum is precisely the set $\{E:-2\le D(E)\le 2\}$. Therefore, from the properties of $D(E)$ it is clear that the spectrum is the union of $\widetilde{q}$ closed intervals (bands), such that $D(E)$ is strongly monotone on each band.

The periodic almost Mathieu operator. Up until now we discussed general periodic operators. Now we concentrate on the almost Mathieu case.

Consider $H_{\alpha,2,\theta}$, where $\alpha=\frac{p}{q}$, $p,q\in\mathbb{N}$, and we assume that $p<q$ are relatively prime. In this case the spectrum $\sigma(\alpha,2,\theta)$ does depend on θ, and we will be interested in the two spectral sets:

$$S(\alpha,\lambda)\equiv\bigcup_\theta\sigma(\alpha,\lambda,\theta),\quad S_-(\alpha,\lambda)\equiv\bigcap_\theta\sigma(\alpha,\lambda,\theta). \tag{2.11}$$

These sets are also well defined in the case that $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$, in which case we have $S(\alpha,\lambda)=S_-(\alpha,\lambda)=\sigma(\alpha,\lambda,\theta)$.

In the almost Mathieu case the θ dependence of $D_{p/q,\lambda,\theta}(E)$ is described by the following formula, due to Chambers [5].

Proposition 2.2 (Chambers)**.** *If p, q are relatively prime, then:*

$$D_{p/q,\lambda,\theta}(E) = \Delta_{p/q,\lambda}(E) - 2\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^q \cos\theta q, \tag{2.12}$$

where $\Delta_{p/q,\lambda}(E) \equiv D_{p/q,\lambda,\frac{\pi}{2q}}(E)$.

From this formula

$$S\left(\frac{p}{q},\lambda\right) = \{E : |\Delta_{\frac{p}{q},\lambda}(E)| \le 2 + 2(\lambda/2)^q\}.$$

Moreover, one can see from (2.12) that if $\lambda > 2$ then $S_-(\frac{p}{q},\lambda) = \varnothing$, and if $\lambda \le 2$ then

$$S_-\left(\frac{p}{q},\lambda\right) = \{E : |\Delta_{p/q,\lambda}(E)| \le 2 - 2(\lambda/2)^q\}.$$

From the Chambers formula combined with the properties of $D(E)$ stated above, it follows that $\Delta_{\frac{p}{q},\lambda}(E) \ge 2 + 2(\lambda/2)^q$ at all its maxima points, and $\Delta_{\frac{p}{q},\lambda}(E) \le -2 - 2(\lambda/2)^q$ at all its minima points. In addition, each of the sets $S(\frac{p}{q},\lambda)$ and $S_-(\frac{p}{q},\lambda)$, when it's not empty, is the union of q closed intervals (bands), such that $\Delta_{p/q,\lambda}(E)$ is strongly monotone on each band. Also note that for $\lambda = 2$ (2.12) is consistent with (1.2).

An important feature of the set $S(\alpha,\lambda)$ is its Hölder continuity in α, which allows to study the set $S(\alpha,\lambda)$ for an irrational α via $S(\alpha_i,\lambda)$, for rational $\alpha_i \to \alpha$.

Proposition 2.3 (Avron–van Mouche–Simon [2])**.** *For every $\lambda > 0$, if $|\alpha - \alpha'|$ is sufficiently small, then for every $E \in S(\alpha,\lambda)$, there is $E' \in S(\alpha',\lambda)$ with:*

$$|E - E'| < 6(\lambda|\alpha - \alpha'|)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We will also use the following result of Last and Wilkinson [17] regarding the special structure of the almost Mathieu operator for $\lambda = 2$:

Lemma 2.4 (Last–Wilkinson)**.** *If p, q are relatively prime, then:*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{q} \frac{1}{|\Delta'_{\frac{p}{q},2}(E_n)|} = \frac{1}{q}, \tag{2.13}$$

where $\Delta'_{\frac{p}{q},\lambda}(E) \equiv \frac{d}{dE}\Delta_{\frac{p}{q},\lambda}(E)$, and $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_q$ are the q zeroes of $\Delta_{\frac{p}{q},2}(E)$.

Hausdorff dimension. Recall [7] that the Hausdorff dimension of a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ is given by:

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(S) = \inf \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \,\Big|\, \lim_{\delta \to 0} \inf_{\delta\text{-covers}} \sum_n (\mathrm{meas}(U_n))^t < \infty \right\}, \tag{2.14}$$

where a δ-cover $S \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ is a cover such that every U_n is an interval of length smaller than δ. The next lemma is used in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}$, and let $q_n, \widetilde{q}_n$ be two sequences of natural numbers, such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has a cover of S by intervals:*

$$S \subset \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{q_n} I_{i,n} \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\widetilde{q}_n} \widetilde{I}_{j,n} \right),$$

so that

(1) $q_n \to \infty$, $\widetilde{q}_n \to \infty$;
(2) $\mathrm{meas}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{q_n} I_{i,n}) < \frac{C_1}{q_n^{\beta_1}}$, $\mathrm{meas}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\widetilde{q}_n} \widetilde{I}_{j,n}) < \frac{C_2}{\widetilde{q}_n^{\beta_2}}$,

where $C_1, C_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ are positive constants. Then

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(S) \leq \max\left(\frac{1}{1+\beta_1}, \frac{1}{1+\beta_2} \right).$$

Proof. Let $t = \max(\frac{1}{1+\beta_1}, \frac{1}{1+\beta_2})$, then by Jensen's inequality:

$$\frac{1}{q_n} \sum_{i=1}^{q_n} (\mathrm{meas}(I_{i,n}))^t \leq \left(\frac{1}{q_n} \sum_{i=1}^{q_n} \mathrm{meas}(I_{i,n}) \right)^t,$$

$$\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}_n} \sum_{j=1}^{\widetilde{q}_n} (\mathrm{meas}(\widetilde{I}_{j,n}))^t \leq \left(\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}_n} \sum_{j=1}^{\widetilde{q}_n} \mathrm{meas}(\widetilde{I}_{j,n}) \right)^t,$$

which implies:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{q_n} (\mathrm{meas}(I_{i,n}))^t + \sum_{j=1}^{\widetilde{q}_n} (\mathrm{meas}(\widetilde{I}_{j,n}))^t \\ &\qquad \leq (\max(C_1, C_2))^t (q_n^{1-t(1+\beta_1)} + \widetilde{q}_n^{1-t(1+\beta_2)}) \leq C_t \,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where $C_t = (\max(C_1, C_2))^t$. Since $q_n, \widetilde{q}_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ we obtain from (2.15):

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(S) \leq \max\left(\frac{1}{1+\beta_1}, \frac{1}{1+\beta_2} \right).$$

□

3. Proof of Theorem 3

We focus on the first inequality, the second one is proved by a similar argument. Define

$$\psi(1) = \phi_1^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(n) = \Phi_{n-1}\psi(1) \ , \quad 2 \le n \le N+1 \ ,$$

and represent $\psi(n)$ as a linear combination:

$$\psi(n) = A_n\phi_n^+ + B_n\phi_n^- \ ,$$

where we set $T_{N+1} = T_N$. Then $\binom{A_1}{B_1} = \binom{1}{0}$. From the definition of T_n, we have

$$\psi(n+1) = T_n(A_n\phi_n^+ + B_n\phi_n^-) = A_n e^{\gamma_n + i\zeta_n}\phi_n^+ + B_n e^{-\gamma_n - i\zeta_n}\phi_n^- \ . \tag{3.1}$$

Comparing (3.1) with

$$\psi(n+1) = A_{n+1}\phi_{n+1}^+ + B_{n+1}\phi_{n+1}^-, \tag{3.2}$$

and denoting

$$U_n \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \phi_n^+(1) & \phi_n^-(1) \\ \phi_n^+(2) & \phi_n^-(2) \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \Lambda_n \equiv \begin{pmatrix} e^{\gamma_n + i\zeta_n} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\gamma_n - i\zeta_n} \end{pmatrix} \ ,$$

we get

$$U_{n+1}\begin{pmatrix} A_{n+1} \\ B_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = U_n\Lambda_n\begin{pmatrix} A_n \\ B_n \end{pmatrix} \ ,$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{n+1} \\ B_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \left[U_{n+1}^{-1}(U_n - U_{n+1}) + I\right]\Lambda_n\begin{pmatrix} A_n \\ B_n \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

The matrix $\rho_n = U_{n+1}^{-1}(U_n - U_{n+1})$ satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_n\| &\le \frac{\|U_{n+1}\|}{|\det U_{n+1}|}\|U_n - U_{n+1}\| \\ &\le \frac{2\max\left(\|\phi_n^+ - \phi_{n+1}^+\|, \|\phi_n^- - \phi_{n+1}^-\|\right)}{|\det U_{n+1}|} \le \frac{\beta}{2}\,\gamma_n e^{-\gamma_n} \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from the fact that the norm of every row of U_{n+1} is equal to one, and the third one,– from assumption (3) of the theorem.

We prove by induction that for every n, $|B_n| \le \beta|A_n|$. From the definition $|B_1| = 0 < \beta = \beta|A_1|$. Assume that $|B_n| \le \beta|A_n|$ for some

$n > 0$. From the relations above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{n+1}| &\geq e^{\gamma_n}|A_n| - e^{\gamma_n}\gamma_n e^{-\gamma_n}\frac{\beta}{2}|A_n| - e^{-\gamma_n}\gamma_n e^{-\gamma_n}\frac{\beta}{2}|B_n| \\ &\geq |A_n|\left(e^{\gamma_n} - \frac{\beta}{2}\gamma_n - \frac{\beta^2}{2}\gamma_n e^{-2\gamma_n}\right) , \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{n+1}| &\leq e^{-\gamma_n}|B_n| + e^{-\gamma_n}\gamma_n e^{-\gamma_n}\frac{\beta}{2}|B_n| + e^{\gamma_n}\gamma_n e^{-\gamma_n}\frac{\beta}{2}|A_n| \\ &\leq |A_n|\left(\beta e^{-\gamma_n} + \frac{\beta^2}{2}\gamma_n e^{-2\gamma_n} + \frac{\beta}{2}\gamma_n\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

An elementary computation using that $e^x \geq 1 + x$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ yields

$$\beta e^{-\gamma_n} + \frac{\beta^2\gamma_n}{2}e^{-2\gamma_n} + \frac{\beta\gamma_n}{2} \leq \beta\left\{e^{\gamma_n} - \frac{\beta\gamma_n}{2} - \frac{\beta^2\gamma_n}{2}e^{-2\gamma_n}\right\} ,$$

hence $|B_{n+1}| \leq \beta|A_{n+1}|$, concluding the induction.

By iterative application of (3.3) and the elementary inequality

$$\ln(1 - xe^{-x}) \geq -x , \quad x \geq 0 ,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{N+1}| &\geq e^{\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n}\prod_{n=1}^N\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\gamma_n(\beta e^{-3\gamma_n} + e^{-\gamma_n})\right) \\ &\geq e^{\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n}\prod_{n=1}^N\left[1 - \beta\gamma_n e^{-\beta\gamma_n}\right] \geq e^{(1-\beta)\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n} . \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The proof of the theorem is concluded by the estimate

$$\|\Phi_N\phi_1^+\| \geq |A_{N+1}|\|\phi_{N+1}^+\| - |B_{N+1}|\|\phi_{N+1}^-\| \geq |A_{N+1}|(1-\beta) .$$

□

4. Proof of Theorem 2

4.1. **Outline of the proof.** Fix $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the almost Mathieu operator $H_{p/q,2,\theta}$, where $p < q$ are relatively prime. Let $\delta > 0$, and assume that $\widetilde{p} < \widetilde{q}$ are also relatively prime, and that $\left|\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}} - \frac{p}{q}\right|$ is sufficiently small. Consider the almost Mathieu operator $H_{\widetilde{p}/\widetilde{q},2,\theta}$. Let $\Delta_{p/q,2}$ be as defined in Chambers' formula (2.12), and $S(\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}}, 2)$ as defined in Section 2. Consider

$$J_\delta = J_\delta^{(1)} = \left\{E \,|\, \left|\Delta_{p/q,2}(E)\right| > \delta > 0\right\} .$$

The Lyapunov exponent for the operator $H_{\widetilde{p}/\widetilde{q},2,\theta}$ depends on θ. However, Chambers' formula allows us to relate the set $S(\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}},2)$ to the Lyapunov exponent corresponding to $\theta = 0$ (which we denote $\gamma(E)$), as follows:

Lemma 4.1. *For every $E \in S(\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}},2)$, $\gamma(E) \leq \frac{\ln 6}{\widetilde{q}}$.*

Proof. For every $E \in S(\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}},2)$, Proposition 2.2 yields $\left|\Delta_{\widetilde{p}/\widetilde{q},2}(E)\right| \leq 4$, and hence $\left|D_{\widetilde{p}/\widetilde{q},2,0}(E)\right| \leq 6$. Thus for E such that $\gamma(E) \neq 0$ we have

$$6 \geq \left|D_{\widetilde{p}/\widetilde{q},2,0}(E)\right| = e^{\gamma(E)\widetilde{q}} + e^{-\gamma(E)\widetilde{q}} \geq e^{\gamma(E)\widetilde{q}} \ .$$

□

Therefore, from now on we work with $\theta = 0$. In order to estimate the Lyapunov exponent for the system (2.1), where $V(n) = 2\cos(2\pi\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}}n)$, we move E slightly off the real axis, estimate the Lyapunov exponent for the resulting complex E's and then finally relate it to the Lyapunov exponent on the real line. The reason for making E complex is to eliminate the possibility of extremely small divisors in the Green function $G(\cdot,\cdot;E)$, corresponding to the operator $H_{\widetilde{p}/\widetilde{q},2,0}$. Thus, we begin with modifying the system (2.1), and from now on, we will study the system

$$\psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + V(n)\psi(n) = (E + i\epsilon)\psi(n). \tag{4.1}$$

The main purpose in our proof is to show that for $\epsilon \cong e^{-\frac{c\delta\widetilde{q}}{q}}$, where c is a sufficiently small constant, the Lyapunov exponent $\gamma(E+i\epsilon)$ of the modified system is at least of order $c\delta/q$. As follows from Lemma 2.1, the Lyapunov exponent $\gamma(E)$ of the system (2.1) is close to the Lyapunov exponent $\gamma(E+i\epsilon)$ of the system (2.7), except for values of E which lie in a set of Lebesgue measure $\cong \epsilon$. Therefore, we just need to show that, for $\epsilon \cong e^{-\frac{c\delta\widetilde{q}}{q}}$, the Lyapunov exponent of the system (2.7) is larger than or equal to $c\delta/(2q)$.

Following is a brief explanation of why the Lyapunov exponent of the modified system is large, skipping technical details. The technical proof is given later in this Section.

Fix $E \in J_\delta$, for which $\Delta_{p/q,2}(E) < 0$, where $\Delta_{p/q,2}(E)$ is defined in (2.12). We prove that the Green function $G^{[1,\infty)}(\cdot,\cdot,E+i\epsilon)$ decays exponentially fast for all such E's. The proof for the case $\Delta_{p/q,2}(E) > 0$ is very similar (one should work with $\theta = \frac{\pi}{q}$ rather than $\theta = 0$), hence we prove that the Green function $G^{[1,\infty)}(\cdot,\cdot,E+i\epsilon)$, that corresponds to $H^{[1,\infty)}_{\widetilde{p}/\widetilde{q},2,\theta}$, decays exponentially fast for all $E \in J_\delta$.

In order to prove that, we introduce an *intermediate problem*, which interpolates between the periodic potential corresponding to p/q and

that corresponding to $\widetilde{p}/\widetilde{q}$:

$$(\widetilde{H}\psi)(n) = \psi(n+1) + \psi(n-1) + \widetilde{V}(n)\psi(n) \ ,$$

where

$$\widetilde{V}(n) = \begin{cases} 2\cos(2\pi\frac{p}{q}n + \theta_n) & \text{if } n < l_0 q \\ 2\cos(2\pi\frac{p}{q}n + \theta_{l_0 q}) & \text{if } n \geq l_0 q, \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

$0 < l_0 \leq \widetilde{q}$ is an integer chosen by $l_0 = [\frac{\widetilde{c}\widetilde{q}\sqrt{\delta}}{q}]$, where $\widetilde{c}$ is some universal constant, and

$$\theta_n = \theta + 2\pi\left(\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}} - \frac{p}{q}\right) n \ .$$

Our choice of l_0 will ensure that for all $\widetilde{\theta} \in [0, \frac{2\pi l_0}{\widetilde{q}}]$, E is sufficiently far from the spectrum of $H_{\frac{p}{q},2,\widetilde{\theta}}$.

On a large initial interval $\widetilde{V}$ coincides with $V_{\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}},2,0}$, and afterwards coincides with $V_{\frac{p}{q},2,\theta_{l_0 q}}$. If the initial interval is large enough, the intermediate operator is close to $H_{\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}},2,0}$. On the other hand, if we regard the potential on the initial interval as $V_{\frac{p}{q},2,\theta}$ with slowly varying θ, we can connect the spectrum of the intermediate problem to the intersection of the spectra of $H_{\frac{p}{q},2,\theta}$, which is exactly $S_-(\frac{p}{q}, 2)$.

In the language of Green functions, this works as follows. First, we show that the Green function corresponding to the intermediate problem is close to that corresponding to $H_{\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}},2,0}$ (since the two potentials coincide on a long interval). The intermediate problem is eventually periodic of period q (with constant θ), and this allows us to estimate its Green function for energies which are sufficiently far from $S_-(\frac{p}{q}, 2)$. From these two steps we obtain an estimate on the Green function corresponding to $H_{\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}},2,0}$ (and eventually $H_{\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}},2,\theta}$).

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.** We start with the case $J_\delta = J_\delta^{(1)}$; also, we assume that $0 < \delta \leq 1$ (since the spectrum is bounded, the general case follows by adjusting the numerical constants). Fix $E \in J_\delta$. We prove that the Green function $G^{[1,\infty)}(\cdot,\cdot,E+i\epsilon)$ corresponding to the almost Mathieu operator $H^{[1,\infty)}_{\widetilde{p}/\widetilde{q},2,0}$, decays exponentially fast for all such E's. We focus on the case $\Delta_{p/q,2}(E) < 0$; the case $\Delta_{p/q,2}(E) > 0$ is very similar.

Consider the operator $\widetilde{H} = \Delta + \widetilde{V}$, where, as in (4.2),

$$\widetilde{V}(n) = \begin{cases} 2\cos(2\pi\frac{p}{q}n + \theta_n) & \text{for } n < l_0 q \\ 2\cos(2\pi\frac{p}{q}n + \theta_{l_0 q}) & \text{for } n \geq l_0 q, \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

$0 < l_0 \leq \widetilde{q}$ is an integer that will be determined later, and

$$\theta_n = 2\pi \left(\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}} - \frac{p}{q} \right) n \ .$$

We will verify that our choice of l_0 guarantees that for all $\widetilde{\theta} \in [0, \frac{2\pi l_0}{\widetilde{q}}]$, the energy E is sufficiently far from the spectrum of $H_{\frac{p}{q},2,\widetilde{\theta}}$.

Let $\widetilde{G}^{[\cdot,\infty)}(\cdot,\cdot;E+i\epsilon)$ be the Green function corresponding to the operator $\widetilde{H}$. For convenience, we denote from now on $G^{[\cdot,\cdot)}(\cdot,\cdot;E+i\epsilon) \equiv G^{[\cdot,\cdot)}(\cdot,\cdot)$. We want to obtain an estimate on $|G^{[1,\infty)}(1,q\widetilde{q})|$. Assume for a moment that the following holds:

$$|G^{[1,\infty)}(1,q\widetilde{q})| \leq \frac{20}{\epsilon^4} e^{-\frac{c'\delta\widetilde{q}}{q}} \ , \tag{4.4}$$

where $c' > 0$ is a numerical constant. For $\epsilon = 20^{1/4} e^{-\frac{c'\delta\widetilde{q}}{8q}}$ we obtain

$$|G^{[1,\infty)}(1,q\widetilde{q})| \leq e^{-\frac{c'\delta\widetilde{q}}{2q}} \ ,$$

whence, using (2.6), $\gamma(E+i\epsilon) \geq \frac{c'\delta}{2q}$. From Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\mathrm{meas}\left(\left\{ E : |\gamma(E+i\epsilon) - \gamma(E)| \geq \frac{c'\delta}{4q} \right\} \right) \leq \frac{4\pi q\epsilon}{c'\delta} \leq \frac{Cq}{\delta} e^{-\frac{c'\delta\widetilde{q}}{8q}}$$

therefore, if $\widetilde{q} \geq 4q/(C\delta)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{meas}\left(\left\{ E : \gamma(E) \leq \frac{\ln 6}{\widetilde{q}} \right\} \cap J_\delta \right) \leq \mathrm{meas}\left(\left\{ E : \gamma(E) \leq \frac{c'\delta}{4q} \right\} \cap J_\delta \right) \\ &\leq \mathrm{meas}\left(\left\{ E : |\gamma(E+i\epsilon) - \gamma(E)| \geq \frac{c'\delta}{4q} \right\} \cap J_\delta \right) \leq \frac{Cq}{\delta} e^{-\frac{c'\delta\widetilde{q}}{8q}} \ , \end{aligned}$$

and the proof of the theorem is concluded.
We prove (4.4) in two steps:

(i) for any l_0, $|G^{[1,\infty)}(1,q\widetilde{q})| \leq \frac{5}{\epsilon^3} |\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0 q)|$,
(ii) for $l_0 = [\frac{c'\sqrt{\delta}\widetilde{q}}{q}]$, one has $|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0 q)| \leq \frac{4}{\epsilon} e^{-\frac{c'\delta\widetilde{q}}{q}}$.

Proof of (i): From (2.4) and the definition of $\widetilde{V}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|G^{[1,\infty)}(1,q\widetilde{q})\right| \\
&\quad= \left|G^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)G^{[l_0q+1,\infty)}(l_0q+1,q\widetilde{q})\right| \\
&\quad\le \left|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)G^{[l_0q+1,\infty)}(l_0q+1,q\widetilde{q})\right| \\
&\qquad+ \left|\left(G^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)-\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)\right)\right|\left|G^{[l_0q+1,\infty)}(l_0q+1,q\widetilde{q})\right| \\
&\quad= \left|G^{[l_0q+1,\infty)}(l_0q+1,q\widetilde{q})\right| \\
&\qquad\times\left[\left|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)\right|+\left|\left(G^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)-\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)\right)\right|\right] .
\end{aligned}$$

First, we estimate the second term of the sum. From the second resolvent identity we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|G^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)-\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)\right| \\
&\quad= \Big|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,k)(\widetilde{V}(k)-V(k))G^{[1,\infty)}(k,l_0q)\Big| \\
&\quad\le \sum_{k=l_0q+1}^{\infty}\left|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,k)(\widetilde{V}(k)-V(k))G^{[1,\infty)}(k,l_0q)\right| \\
&\quad\le 2\|V\|_\infty\sum_{k=l_0q+1}^{\infty}\left|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,k)G^{[1,\infty)}(k,l_0q)\right| \\
&\quad\le 4\sum_{k=l_0q+1}^{\infty}\left|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,k)G^{[1,\infty)}(k,l_0q)\right| \equiv \widetilde{W}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Applying (2.4) we see that for $k \ge l_0q+1$

$$\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,k) = \widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)\widetilde{G}^{[l_0q+1,\infty)}(l_0q+1,k).$$

As a result we obtain

$$\widetilde{W} \le 4|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)|\widetilde{A} \le 4|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)|\widetilde{B},$$

where

$$\widetilde{A} = \sum_{k=l_0q+1}^{\infty}\left|\widetilde{G}^{[l_0q+1,\infty)}(l_0q+1,k)G^{[1,\infty)}(k,l_0q)\right|, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\widetilde{B} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,k)\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|G^{[1,\infty)}(k,l_0q)\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{4.7}$$

and we used the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the definition of $\widetilde{V}$. From (2.9) we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,k)\right|^2 \le \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\ , \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|G^{[1,\infty)}(k,l_0q)\right|^2 \le \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\ , \tag{4.8}$$

therefore, we obtain

$$\left|G^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)-\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)\right| \le \left|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)\right|\frac{4}{\epsilon^2}. \tag{4.9}$$

By combining (4.9) and (2.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|G^{[1,\infty)}(1,q\widetilde{q})\right|\\ &\le \left|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)\right|\left(1+\frac{4}{\epsilon^2}\right)\left|G^{[l_0q+1,\infty)}(l_0q+1,q\widetilde{q})\right|\\ &\le \left|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)\right|\frac{5}{\epsilon^3},\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where the last inequality follows from the assumption that $\epsilon<1$.

Proof of (ii). Now we estimate the $|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)|$. We first verify that for $l_0=[\frac{\widetilde{c}\sqrt{\delta}\widetilde{q}}{q}]$, where $\widetilde{c}>0$, we have

$$\text{for all } 0\le j\le l_0q \text{ and } E\in J_\delta,\quad D_{p/q,2,\theta_j}(E) < -2-\frac{3\delta}{4}. \tag{4.11}$$

Since $E\in J_\delta$, $\Delta_{p/q,2}(E)<-\delta$. From Chambers' formula (2.12)

$$D_{p/q,2,\theta_j}(E)=\Delta_{p/q,2}(E)-2\cos\theta_j q.$$

Therefore it suffices to verify that

$$2\cos\theta_j q \ge 2-\frac{\delta}{4},\quad 0\le j\le l_0q\ ,$$

and this holds when $\widetilde{c}$ in the definition of l_0 is sufficiently small.

For $1\le k\le q$, set

$$Q^{-1}_{jq+k}(E+i\epsilon)=\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ -1 & E+i\epsilon-2\cos(2\pi\frac{p}{q}(jq+k)+\theta_{jq+k})\end{pmatrix}\ ,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)=(Q^{-1}_{jq+1}\dots Q^{-1}_{(j+1)q})(E+i\epsilon),\ 0\le j\le l_0-1,\\ &\Phi^{-1}_{l_0q}(E+i\epsilon)=(T_0^{-1}\dots T^{-1}_{l_0-1})(E+i\epsilon).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{pmatrix}\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,1)\\ 1\end{pmatrix}=\Phi^{-1}_{l_0q}(E+i\epsilon)\begin{pmatrix}\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q+1)\\ \widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1,l_0q)\end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.12}$$

Let us verify the assumptions of Theorem 3. According to the definition of T_j^{-1}, we have $\det(T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon))=1$. Next, we claim that

$$|\mathrm{Tr}(T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon))|>2+\frac{\delta}{2}\ . \tag{4.13}$$

Indeed, define

$$\widehat{Q}_{jq+k}^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & E+i\epsilon-2\cos(2\pi\frac{p}{q}(jq+k)+\theta_{jq+1}) \end{pmatrix}\ ,$$

and

$$\widehat{T}_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)=(\widehat{Q}_{jq+1}^{-1}\dots\widehat{Q}_{(j+1)q}^{-1})(E+i\epsilon)\ ,\ 0\le j\le l_0-1\ .$$

The matrices $\widehat{T}_j$ are one-period transfer matrices of the periodic almost Mathieu operator, therefore from (4.11) $|\mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{T}_j^{-1}(E))|>2+\frac{3\delta}{4}$. To pass from $\widehat{T}_j^{-1}(E)$ to $T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)$, we observe that

$$\|\widehat{Q}_{jq+k}^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)-Q_{jq+k}^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)\|\le 2|\theta_{jq+k}-\theta_{jq+1}|\le 4\pi\eta q\ ,$$
$$\|\widehat{Q}_{jq+k}^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)-\widehat{Q}_{jq+k}^{-1}(E)\|=\epsilon=20^{1/4}e^{-\frac{c''\delta\tilde{q}}{q}}\le 3e^{-\frac{c''\delta}{q^2\eta}}\ ,$$

hence

$$\|\widehat{T}_j^{-1}(E)-T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)\|\le(4\pi\eta q^2+3e^{-\frac{c''\delta}{q^2\eta}})C_1^{q-1}\le\eta C_2^q\le\frac{\delta^2}{8}\le\frac{\delta}{8}$$

for sufficiently large C in the definition of η, where we used the inequalities

$$\|\widehat{Q}_{jq+k}^{-1}(E)\|\ ,\|Q_{jq+k}^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)\|\le C_1\ ,\quad \left|\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}}-\frac{p}{q}\right|\le\eta\ .$$

Therefore

$$|\mathrm{Tr}(T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon))|\ge 2+\frac{3\delta}{4}-2\,\frac{\delta}{8}\ge 2+\frac{\delta}{2}\ ,$$

as claimed in (4.13). In particular, denoting the eigenvalues of the matrix $T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)$ by $e^{\pm(\gamma_j+i\zeta_j)}$, we have:

$$\gamma_j>\mathrm{arccosh}\left(1+\frac{\delta}{4}\right)\ge c\sqrt{\delta}\ .$$

Next, $\|T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)\|\le C^q$ and, assuming $\left|\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{q}}-\frac{p}{q}\right|\le\eta$,

$$\|T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)-T_{j+1}^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)\|\le C^q q^2\eta\le(2C)^q\eta\ . \tag{4.14}$$

Denoting by $\phi_j^{\pm}$ the unit eigenvectors of $T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)$ and by U_j the matrix with columns $\phi_j^{\pm}$, and observing that

$$\|T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)\phi_j^+\|\le\|T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)\phi_j^-\|+\|T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)\|\|\phi_j^+-\phi_j^-\|\ ,$$

we deduce that

$$|\det U_j| \geq \|\phi_j^+ - \phi_j^-\| \geq \frac{e^{\gamma_j} - e^{-\gamma_j}}{\|T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon)\|} \geq \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{2C^q} \ . \tag{4.15}$$

Let $\psi_j^\pm$ be the unit eigenvectors of $(T_j^{-1}(E+i\epsilon))^*$, then using (4.14)

$$|\langle \psi_{j+1}^\mp, \phi_j^\pm \rangle| = \frac{\|(\psi_{j+1}^\mp)^*(T_{j+1}^{-1}(E+i\epsilon) - e^{\pm\gamma_j})\phi_j^\pm\|}{|e^{\mp\gamma_{j+1}} - e^{\pm\gamma_j}|} \leq \frac{2(2C)^q\eta}{\sqrt{\delta}} \ .$$

Decompose

$$\phi_j^\pm = a_j^\pm \phi_{j+1}^\pm + b_j^\pm \phi_{j+1}^\mp \ ;$$

then we can assume, possibly modifying the phases of $\phi_j^\pm$ (starting from the last one and going backwards), that $a_j^\pm \geq 0$. Then, using (4.15),

$$|b_j^\pm| = \frac{|\langle \phi_j^\pm, \psi_{j+1}^\mp \rangle|}{|\langle \phi_{j+1}^\mp, \psi_{j+1}^\mp \rangle|} \leq \frac{4(2C^2)^q\eta}{\delta} \leq \frac{C_1^q\eta}{\delta} \ , \ \ |a_j^\pm - 1| \leq \frac{C_1^q\eta}{\delta} \ .$$

If $\eta = \delta^2/\tilde{C}^q$ with sufficiently large $\tilde{C}$ and using (4.15), we deduce that

$$\|\phi_j^\pm - \phi_{j+1}^\pm\| \leq \frac{2C_1^q\eta}{\delta} \leq \frac{1}{2}\gamma_j e^{-\gamma_j}|\det U_{j+1}| \ .$$

Finally, $\phi_{\ell_0-1}^+$ is proportional to the vector

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1, l_0q+1) \\ \widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1, l_0q) \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

Applying Theorem 3 with $\beta = 1/2$ we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{\|u\|}\|\Phi_{l_0q}^{-1}(u)\| \geq \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{l_0-1}\gamma_i} \geq \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{c}{2}l_0\sqrt{\delta}} \ ,$$

whence, recalling (4.12), the rough bound (2.8), and the definition $l_0 = [\frac{\widetilde{c}q\sqrt{\delta}}{q}]$,

$$|\widetilde{G}^{[1,\infty)}(1, l_0q)| \leq \|u\| \leq \frac{4}{\epsilon}e^{-\frac{c}{2}l_0\sqrt{\delta}} \leq \frac{4}{\epsilon}e^{-\frac{c'\delta\tilde{q}}{q}} \ .$$

This concludes the proof of (ii).

The proof of the version of Theorem 2 with $J_\delta^{(2)}$ is essentially the same as the one given above for $J_\delta^{(1)}$. The only difference appears in the choice of l_0, as follows. Let

$$S_-\left(\frac{p}{q}, 2\right) = \{E_1, \cdots, E_q\} \ , \quad \text{where } \Delta_{p/q,2}(E_i) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, q \ .$$

Then the estimate $|\Delta_{p/q,2}(E)| > \delta$ in the definition of J_δ is replaced with $\min_{1\le i\le q}|E-E_i| > \delta$. From the inequality (5.4) below, the latter condition implies the former one with $\frac{\delta q}{e}$ instead of δ. □

5. Proof of Theorem 1

First, we prove a sufficient condition for $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(S(\alpha,2)) = 0$. Throughout this section, we assume that all the fractions are reduced, i.e., of the form $\frac{p}{q}$, where $q \ge 0$ and p, q are relatively prime. Also, we follow the notation of Theorem 2, with $J_\delta = J_\delta^{(1)}$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ be such that there exists a sequence of rational approximations*

$$\alpha_j = \frac{p_j}{q_j} \underset{j\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \alpha$$

for which the following conditions hold true for $j = 1, 3, 5, 7, \dots$:

(1) $|\alpha_{j+1} - \alpha_j| < \min(\eta(p_j, q_j, q_j^{-j}), q_j^{-j})$.
(2) $|\alpha - \alpha_{j+1}| < q_{j+1}^{-(j+1)}$.
(3) $q_{j+1} > q_j^j$ *and also* $\frac{C(p_j,q_j)}{q_j^{-j}} \exp\left\{-\frac{q_j^{-j} q_{j+1}}{C(p_j,q_j)}\right\} \le \frac{1}{q_{j+1}^j}$,

Then $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(S(\alpha,2)) = 0$.

Proof. Fix an odd $j \ge 1$. From Proposition 2.3,

$$S(\alpha,2) \subset S(\alpha_{j+1},2) + \left(-6\sqrt{2|\alpha-\alpha_{j+1}|},\, 6\sqrt{2|\alpha-\alpha_{j+1}|}\right) , \tag{5.1}$$

where for $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$A + B = \left\{a + b \,\middle|\, a \in A,\, b \in B\right\} .$$

Now we consider the operator $H_{\alpha_j,2,\theta}$ corresponding to the fixed α_j, and let $\Delta(E) = \Delta_{\alpha_j,2}(E)$ be as in (2.12). Recall that

$$J_\delta = \left\{E \,\middle|\, |\Delta(E)| > \delta\right\} ,$$

and we set $\delta = q_j^{-j}$. Then

$$S(\alpha_{j+1},2) = \Big(S(\alpha_{j+1},2)\cap J_\delta\Big) \bigcup \Big(S(\alpha_{j+1},2)\cap J_\delta^c\Big) ,$$

where $J_\delta^c = \mathbb{R} \setminus J_\delta$. Therefore

$$S(\alpha,2) \subset \left[\Big(S(\alpha_{j+1},2)\cap J_\delta\Big) + \left(-6\sqrt{2|\alpha-\alpha_{j+1}|},\, 6\sqrt{2|\alpha-\alpha_{j+1}|}\right)\right] \bigcup \left[\Big(S(\alpha_{j+1},2)\cap J_\delta^c\Big) + \left(-6\sqrt{2|\alpha-\alpha_{j+1}|},\, 6\sqrt{2|\alpha-\alpha_{j+1}|}\right)\right] \ . \tag{5.2}$$

The set $S(\alpha_{j+1},2)\cap J_\delta$ is the union of at most q_{j+1} intervals. By Theorem 2 and assumption (3)

$$\mathrm{meas}(S(\alpha_{j+1},2)\cap J_\delta) \le \frac{C(p_j,q_j)}{q_j^{-j}} \exp\left\{-\frac{q_j^{-j} q_{j+1}}{C(p_j,q_j)}\right\} \le \frac{1}{q_{j+1}^j} \ .$$

Therefore the set

$$\Big(S(\alpha_{j+1},2)\cap J_\delta\Big) + \left(-6\sqrt{2|\alpha-\alpha_{j+1}|},\, 6\sqrt{2|\alpha-\alpha_{j+1}|}\right)$$

can be covered by q_{j+1} intervals of total length smaller than

$$\frac{1}{q_{j+1}^j} + 2q_{j+1}\cdot 6\sqrt{2|\alpha-\alpha_{j+1}|} \le \frac{1}{q_{j+1}^j} + \frac{C}{q_{j+1}^{\frac{j-1}{2}}} \le \frac{C'}{q_{j+1}^{\frac{j-1}{2}}} \ ,$$

where $C, C' > 0$ are some constants. Here the first inequality follows from assumption (2).

Now we pass to the second set in (5.2). We have:

$$S(\alpha_{j+1},2)\cap J_\delta^c \subset J_\delta^c \ ,$$

and J_δ^c is the union of q_j intervals:

$$J_\delta^c = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{q_j} I_\nu = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{q_j} \left[E_\nu^1,\, E_\nu^2\right] \ .$$

Let us show that

$$\mathrm{meas}(J_\delta^c) \le \frac{2e\delta}{q_j} \ . \tag{5.3}$$

Consider a band of J_δ^c: $I_\nu = [E_\nu^1, E_\nu^2]$. Denote by E_ν the zero of $\Delta(E)$ inside I_ν. Then

$$\mathrm{meas}(I_\nu) = |E_\nu^1 - E_\nu| + |E_\nu^2 - E_\nu| \le 2|\widetilde{E}_\nu - E_\nu| \ ,$$

where $\widetilde{E}_\nu \in I_\nu$ is either E_ν^1 or E_ν^2; $|\Delta(\widetilde{E}_\nu)| = \delta$.

Let us show that for $E \in I_\nu$

$$|E - E_\nu| \le \frac{e|\Delta(E)|}{|\Delta'(E)|} \ . \tag{5.4}$$

Then,

$$\text{meas}(I_\nu) \leq \frac{2e\delta}{|\Delta'(E_\nu)|} \ ,$$

and by Lemma 2.4 we obtain

$$\text{meas}(J_\delta^c) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{q_j} \frac{2e|\Delta(E)|}{|\Delta'(E_\nu)|} \leq \frac{2e\delta}{q_j} \ ,$$

which proves (5.3).

We can assume without loss of generality that $\Delta(\cdot)$ is increasing on I_ν and that $\Delta(E) > 0$. We also assume for now that $\nu \neq 1, q_j$.

Denote by E_ν^0 the maximum of $\Delta(E)$ just above E_ν^2. We have: $E_\nu^0 > E_\nu^2$, since $\Delta(E_\nu^2) = \delta < 2 \leq \Delta(E_\nu^0)$. Suppose $E_\nu^0 > E > E_\nu$. Define

$$f(E) \equiv \frac{d}{dE} \ln\left(\Delta(E)\right) = \frac{\Delta'(E)}{\Delta(E)} = \sum_{k=1}^{q_j} \frac{1}{(E - E_k)}, \tag{5.5}$$

and observe that

$$f'(E) = \frac{d}{dE} f(E) = -\sum_{k=1}^{q_j} \frac{1}{(E - E_k)^2} < \frac{-1}{(E - E_\nu)^2}. \tag{5.6}$$

Since E_ν^0 is the maximum of $\Delta(E)$, we have: $f(E_\nu^0) = 0$, and for all $E \in (E_\nu, E_\nu^0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(E) &= -\int_E^{E_\nu^0} f'(E')dE' \\ &> \int_E^{E_\nu^0} \frac{dE'}{(E' - E_\nu)^2} = \frac{1}{(E - E_\nu)} - \frac{1}{(E_\nu^0 - E_\nu)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Now, consider $E \in (E_\nu, E_\nu^2)$, $E \in J_\delta^c$. We have

$$\ln \frac{\Delta(E)}{\Delta(\widetilde{E})} \quad = \quad \int_{\widetilde{E}}^{E} f(E')dE' \quad > \quad \ln\left(\frac{E - E_\nu}{\widetilde{E} - E_\nu}\right) \ - \ \frac{E - \widetilde{E}}{E_\nu^0 - E_\nu}, \tag{5.8}$$

therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{\Delta(E)}{\Delta(\widetilde{E})} > \frac{1}{e}\left(\frac{E - E_\nu}{\widetilde{E} - E_\nu}\right),$$

which implies

$$E - E_\nu < e\Delta(E) \lim_{\widetilde{E} \to E_\nu} \frac{\widetilde{E} - E_\nu}{\Delta(\widetilde{E})} = \frac{e\Delta(E)}{\Delta'(E_\nu)}. \tag{5.9}$$

This concludes the proof of (5.4) for non-extremal bands. For extremal bands we follow the strategy of [14]: due to monotonicity of Δ', it is sufficient to consider the part of the band directed towards the rest of the spectrum, and for this part of the band the previous argument is applied. Thus (5.3) is established.

We have shown that $S(\alpha_{j+1},2)\cap J_\delta^c$ can be covered by q_j intervals of total length at most $\frac{2e\delta}{q_j}$. Therefore

$$\left[\left(S(\alpha_{j+1},2)\cap J_\delta^c\right)+\left(-6\sqrt{2|\alpha-\alpha_{j+1}|},\,6\sqrt{2|\alpha-\alpha_{j+1}|}\right)\right]$$

can be covered by q_j intervals of total length at most

$$\frac{2e\delta}{q_j}+2q_j\cdot 6\sqrt{2|\alpha-\alpha_{j+1}|}\le\frac{2e}{q_j^{j+1}}+\frac{Cq_j}{q_{j+1}^{\frac{j+1}{2}}}\le\frac{C''}{q_j^{j-1}}\ ,$$

where the first inequality follows from assumption (2) and the definition of $\delta=q_j^{-j}$, and the second inequality follows from assumption (3) of the Lemma.

Thus $S(\alpha,2)$ can be covered by the union of q_{j+1} intervals of total length at most $\frac{C'}{q_{j+1}^{\frac{j-1}{2}}}$ and q_j intervals of total length at most $\frac{C''}{q_j^{j-1}}$. For any $\beta>0$, the numbers $\frac{j-1}{2}$, $j-1$ exceed β for sufficiently large j, therefore Lemma 2.5 yields

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}}\left(S(\alpha,2)\right)\le\inf_{\beta>0}\frac{1}{1+\beta}=0\ .$$

□

Irrational $\alpha\in[0,1]$ satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 5.1 can be constructed via their continued fraction expansion (see, e.g., [9]):

$$\alpha=[n_1,n_2,n_3,\ldots]=\cfrac{1}{n_1+\cfrac{1}{n_2+\cfrac{1}{n_3+\ldots}}}\ . \tag{5.10}$$

For example, the assumptions of Lemma 5.1 are satisfied if the sequence of quotients, $\{n_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, grows sufficiently fast. More generally, it is sufficient to control a subsequence of quotients $\{n_{j_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ so that the assumptions of Lemma 5.1 hold, to ensure that, for the resulting α, we have $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}\left(S(\alpha,2)\right)=0$.

The set of α's obtained in this way can be shown to be dense G_δ. However, it is technically simpler to show directly that the set of α's satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 5.1 contains a dense G_δ set. The following lemma, combined with Lemma 5.1, implies Theorem 1.

Lemma 5.2. *There exists a dense G_δ set of α's in $\mathbb{R}$ which satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 5.1.*

Remark. *As mentioned, one can consider only $\alpha \in [0,1]$; however, here we work with arbitrary α to keep the notation simpler.*

Proof. We construct the G_δ set $\mathcal{K}$ as an intersection of open sets. Set $\mathcal{K}_0 = \mathbb{R}$. For $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, we define $\mathcal{K}_{j+1}$ inductively as follows. For $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathcal{K}_j$, one can choose $\epsilon = \epsilon(\frac{p}{q}, j)$ sufficiently small so that

$$\epsilon < \min(\eta(p, q, q^{-j}), q^{-j}) \ , \ \left(\frac{p}{q} - \epsilon, \frac{p}{q} + \epsilon\right) \subset \mathcal{K}_j \ ,$$

and the following conditions hold for any

$$\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}} \in \left(\frac{p}{q} - \epsilon, \frac{p}{q}\right) \cup \left(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p}{q} + \epsilon\right) \ :$$

(1) $\widetilde{q} > q^j$;
(2) $\frac{C(p,q)}{q^{-j}} \exp\left\{-\frac{q^{-j}\widetilde{q}}{C(p,q)}\right\} \leq \frac{1}{\widetilde{q}^j}$.

Indeed, there is only a finite number of $\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}} \in (\frac{p}{q} - 1, \frac{p}{q} + 1)$ which fail either of the conditions (1)–(2); therefore, for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, none of them is in the punctured ϵ-neighborhood of $\frac{p}{q}$.

Then denote

$$\mathcal{K}_{j+1} = \bigcup_{\frac{p}{q} \in \mathcal{K}_j} \left(\frac{p}{q} - \epsilon(\frac{p}{q}, j), \frac{p}{q}\right) \cup \left(\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p}{q} + \epsilon(\frac{p}{q}, j)\right) \ .$$

According to the choice of ϵ, $\mathcal{K}_0 \supset \mathcal{K}_1 \supset \mathcal{K}_2 \supset \cdots$, and all these sets are open. Set $\mathcal{K} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_j$; it is a G_δ set.

Next, the set $\mathcal{K}$ is dense. Indeed, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $\eta > 0$, there exists $\frac{p_0}{q_0} \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $|\alpha - \frac{p_0}{q_0}| < \frac{\eta}{2}$. Choose a non-degenerate closed interval I_0 so that

$$I_0 \subset \left(\frac{p_0}{q_0}, \frac{p_0}{q_0} + \min\left\{\epsilon\left(\frac{p_0}{q_0}, 0\right), \frac{\eta}{2}\right\}\right) \subset \mathcal{K}_1 \ .$$

Now proceed inductively: for any $j \geq 1$, we choose a rational $\frac{p_j}{q_j}$ in the interior of I_{j-1} and choose $\eta_j > 0$ so that $(\frac{p_j}{q_j}, \frac{p_j}{q_j} + \eta_j) \subset I_{j-1} \cap \mathcal{K}_{j+1}$, and then choose a non-degenerate closed interval $I_j \subset (\frac{p_j}{q_j}, \frac{p_j}{q_j} + \eta_j)$. This is possible since $\frac{p_j}{q_j}$ is an interior point of I_{j-1} (therefore $(\frac{p_j}{q_j}, \frac{p_j}{q_j} + \eta_j) \subset I_{j-1}$ for sufficiently small η_j), and is also a rational point in $I_{j-1} \subset \mathcal{K}_j$ (therefore $(\frac{p_j}{q_j}, \frac{p_j}{q_j} + \eta_j) \subset \mathcal{K}_{j+1}$ for $\eta_j < \epsilon(\frac{p_j}{q_j}, j)$). According to Cantor's lemma, the nested sequence of closed intervals $\{I_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ has a common point $\alpha' \in \mathcal{K}$, and $|\alpha - \alpha'| < \eta$.

Finally, let us show that all the elements of $\mathcal{K}$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 5.1. Choose $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$. First, α is irrational. Indeed, it is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{K}_j$ does not contain rational numbers $\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}}$ with $\widetilde{q} \leq j$. For $j = 0$, the statement is empty. Assume that, for any $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathcal{K}_j$, $q > j$. Then, by condition (1) above, for any $\frac{\widetilde{p}}{\widetilde{q}} \in \mathcal{K}_{j+1}$ we have:

$$\widetilde{q} > (j+1)^j \geq j+1 \ .$$

This proves the induction step.

Next, for any $j = 1, 3, 5, 7, \ldots$, we have: $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_{j+2}$; therefore, there exists $\frac{p_{j+1}}{q_{j+1}} \in \mathcal{K}_{j+1}$ such that

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p_{j+1}}{q_{j+1}} \right| < \epsilon \left(\frac{p_{j+1}}{q_{j+1}}, j+1 \right) \ .$$

Now, there exists $\frac{p_j}{q_j} \in \mathcal{K}_j$ so that

$$0 < \left| \frac{p_{j+1}}{q_{j+1}} - \frac{p_j}{q_j} \right| < \epsilon \left(\frac{p_j}{q_j}, j \right)$$

Thus we have constructed the sequence $\frac{p_j}{q_j}$ required in Lemma 5.1.

□

References

[1] A. Avila, S. Jitomirskaya, *The Ten Martini Problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) 170 (2009), no. 1, 303–342.

[2] J. Avron, P. van Mouche and B. Simon, *On the measure of the spectrum for the almost Mathieu operator*. Commun. Math. Phys. **132**, 103–118 (1990)

[3] J. Bell and R. B. Stinchcombe, *Hierarchical band clustering and fractal spectra in incommensurate systems*. J. Phys. **A 20**, L739–L744 (1987)

[4] J. Bourgain, Green's function estimates for lattice Schrdinger operators and applications. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 158. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005. x+173 pp.

[5] W. Chambers, *Linear network model for magnetic breakdown in two dimensions*. Phys. Rev. **A 140**, 135–143 (1965)

[6] M. D. Choi, G. A. Elliot and N. Yui, *Gauss polynomials and the rotation algebra*, Invent. Math. **99**, 225–246 (1990)

[7] K. J. Falconer,The geometry of fractal sets. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 1985

[8] T. Geisel, R. Ketzmerick and G. Petshel, *New class of level statistics in quantum systems with unbounded diffusion*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1651–1654 (1991)

[9] G. H. Hardy, E. M. Wright, An introduction to the theory of numbers. Fifth ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press 1979

[10] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, *Analyse semi-classique pour l'équation de Harper (avec application à l'équation de Schrdinger avec champ magnétique)*, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) No. 34 (1988), 113 pp. (1989).

[11] D. R. Hofstadter, *Energy levels and wave functions of Bloch electrons in a rational or irrational magnetic field*. Phys. Rev. B. **14**, 2239–2249 (1976)
[12] S. Ya. Jitomirskaya, *Almost everything about the almost Mathieu operator. II*, XIth International Congress of Mathematical Physics (Paris, 1994), 373–382, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.
[13] Y. Last, *On the measure of gaps and spectra for discrete 1D Schrödinger operators*. Commun. Math. Phys. **149**, 347–360 (1992)
[14] Y. Last, *Zero measure spectrum for the almost Mathieu Operator*. Commun. Math. Phys. **164**, 421–432 (1994)
[15] Y. Last, *Almost everything about the almost Mathieu operator. I.* XIth International Congress of Mathematical Physics (Paris, 1994), 366–372, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995
[16] Y. Last, *Spectral theory of Sturm-Liouville Operators on infinite intervals: A review of recent developments*, in W. O Amrein, A. M. Hinz and D. B. Pearson Eds., *Sturm-Liouville Theory: Past and Present*, pp. 99–120, Basel/Switzerland: Birkhäuser Verlag 2005
[17] Y. Last and M. Wilkinson, *A sum rule for the dispersion relations of the rational Harper's equation*. J. Phys. **A 25**, 6123–6133 (1992)
[18] P.M.H. van Mouche, *The coexistence problem for the discrete Mathieu operator*. Commun. Math. Phys. **122**, 23–34 (1989)
[19] S. Surace, *Positive Lyapunov exponent for a class of ergodic Schrödinger operators*. Commun. Math. Phys. **162**, 529–537 (1994)
[20] C. Tang and M. Kohmoto, *Global scaling properties of the spectrum for a quasiperiodic Schrödinger equation*. Phys. Rev. **B 34**, 2041–2044 (1986)
[21] M. Wilkinson and E. J. Austin, *Spectral dimension and dynamics for Harper's equation*. Phys. Rev. **B 50**, 1420–1430 (1994)